

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,355	04/02/2001	Edward J. Gottsman	05222.00108	7180
29638	7590 05/07/2003			
BANNER & WITCOFF AND ATTORNEYS FOR ACCENTURE 10 S. WACKER DRIVE, 30TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			EXAMINER	
			CORRIELUS, JEAN M	
		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2172	0
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/824,355	GOTTSMAN, EDV	GOTTSMAN, EDWARD J.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jean M Corrielus	2172		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sh		ldress	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  nasions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, y within the statutory minimur will apply and will expire SIX or cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timel (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	у. ommunication.	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> A	<u> April 2001</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final			
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims			e merits is	
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	١.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideratio	n.		
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requireme	nt.		
	on Papers				
9) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	<b>r.</b> .			
10) 🔲 🗅	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected t	o by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b	) disapproved by the Examin	er.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.			
12) 🔲 🛚	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receive	d.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receive	d in Application No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	Stage	
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).	
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application I	nas been received.	·	
Attachment	(s)				
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u>	5) 🗍 Not	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No( ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO er:		
. Patent and Tra O-326 (Rev		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 6		

. 05/02 .,5.

Art Unit: 2172:

**DETAILED ACTION** 

1. This office action is in response to the preliminary amendment filed on May 15, 2001, which

claims 1-15 are presented for examination.

**Drawings** 

2. Applicants are required to furnish the formal drawings in response this office action. No new

matter may be introduced in the required drawing. Failure to timely submit a drawing will result in

**ABANDONMENT** of the application.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed on July 3, 2001, complies with the provisions of

M.E.P.. § 609. It has been placed in the application file. The information referred to therein has been

considered as to the merits. (see attached form PTO-1449).

Claim Objections

4. Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 11 recites "the computer

readable medium of claim 9" in line 1. It is noted, however, claim 9 recites a method of claim 1.

In claim 12, line 3, after "library file;" please insert an --and--. Appropriate correction is required.

Page 2

Page 3

Art Unit: 2172:

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or

on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by May et al (hereinafter

"May") US Patent no. 5,544,354.

As to claim 1, May discloses a system for accessing a large database of information using both

browsing and searching behaviors. In particular, Campbell discloses the claimed features "displaying

in a matrix area on the display a matrix having a plurality of cells and a plurality of icons displayed

in one or more of the cells, each icon corresponding to an elements in the database" (col.5, lines 27-

47; col.6, lines 44-63; col.7, lines 1-25; col.26, lines 60-65; col.24, lines 49-51); "receiving an icon

selection signal in response to a user selecting one of the icons with the user interface selection

device" (col.11, lines 10-65; col.26, lines 60-65); and "in response to the icon selection signal

displaying a corresponding element" (col.11, lines 1-65; col.27, lines 3-15).

As to claim 2, May discloses the claimed features "wherein the matrix includes row headings and

column headings, the row headings identifying sources from which the elements are obtained, the

column headings identifying subject matter to which the elements relate" (col.8, lines 5-60; fig.12).

324,355:

Page 4

Art Unit: 2172:

As to claim 3, May discloses the claimed features "changing a visually perceptive characteristic of one

of the icons in response to step (b)"(col.9, lines 35-47; 50-63).

As to claim 4, May discloses the claimed features "receiving from the user a search request input

from a user input device" (col.26, lines 60-65); and "changing a visually perceptive characteristic of

icons that correspond to elements that satisfy the search request" (col.12, lines 30-39).

As to claim 5, May discloses the claimed feature "periodically changing, without intervention by the

user, the element that is displayed" (col.12, lines 30-39).

As to claim 6, May discloses the claimed feature "wherein the element comprises a textual image"

(col.9, lines 35-47).

As to claim 7, the limitations of claim 7 have been noted in the rejection of claim 1 above. In addition,

May discloses the claimed feature "wherein the element comprises a textual excerpt" (col.9, lines 35-

47).

As to claim 8, May discloses the claimed feature "displaying in a title relating to the element" (col.5,

lines 27-47); and "displaying in a source location a source of the element" (col.12, lines 15-25).

Art Unit: 2172:

As to claim 9, May discloses the claimed feature "wherein the user selects the icon by superimposing a pointing indicator on the icon" (col.9, lines 60-64).

As to claim 10, the limitations of claim 10 have been noted in the rejection of claim 1 above. In addition, May discloses the claimed feature "displaying in a file location of the display a file" (col.12, lines 15-25).

As to claim 11, May discloses the claimed feature "receiving a search request from a user" (col.26, lines 60-65); and "changing a visually perceptive characteristic of icons that correspond to files that satisfy the search request" (col.12, lines 30-39).

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Art Unit: 2172:

May et al (hereinafter "May")US Patent no. 5,544,354 in view of Burnard et la (hereinafter

"Burnard") US Patent no. RE37,722.

As to claim 12, May discloses the claimed feature "a database of textual excerpts" (col.25, lines 23-

32). However, May does not disclose the cited features "a translator configured to combine the

textual excerpts into a library file"; and "a computer configured to combine source code and the

library file into a single executable file".

On the other hand, Burnard discloses an user interface objects that store in a user interface object

archive which is a database physically located in the shared library of an association application

program in order to facilitate preparation of an application developed in one language for use in an

are which uses another language. In particular, Burnard discloses the claimed features "a translator

configured to combine the textual excerpts into a library file" (col.11, lines 42-67; col.30, lines 15-

26); and "a computer configured to combine source code and the library file into a single executable

file" (col.7, lines 40-57; col.8, lines 37-57).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of data processing, at the

time the present invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references. One having

ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to utilize the teachings of Burnard into the system

disclosed by May in order to allow newly created user interface object to use the redesigned

construction program which are stored in an archive

Page 6

Art Unit: 2172:

As to claim 13, Burnard discloses the claimed feature "including a content editor coupled to the database of textual excerpts" (col.3, line 26-col.4, line 7).

9. Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burnard et la (hereinafter "Burnard") US Patent no. RE37,722.

As to claim 14, Burnard discloses the claimed feature "creating a database, an element library containing a plurality of database elements having a common format" (col.8, lines 38-57); "creating source code for a user interface that permit a user to view the database elements" (col.8, lines 38-57); and "compiling the element library and the source code to create an executable computer file which, when executed, permits the user to display the database" (col.8, lines 38-57). May does not explicitly disclose the use of compiling the element library without reference to non-compiled data. However, Burnard discloses a system to provide a user interface archiving system which facilitates the translation of the text in an application to an alternative language. Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was to modify Burnard's system to incorporate the use of compiling the element library without reference to non-compiled data in order to allow newly created user interface object to use the redesigned construction program which are stored in an archive.

As to claim 15, May discloses the claimed feature "containing a computer executable file" (col.7, lines 40-57).

Art Unit: 2172:

Conclusion

Page 8

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or early communication from the Examiner

should directed to Jean Corrielus whose telephone number is (703) 306-3035. The Examiner can

normally be reached on the weekdays from 7:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor,

*Kim Vu*, can be reached on (703)305-9343.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703)746-7240 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington.

VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

ean M. Corrielus

Patent Examiner

April 30, 2003